

SRM Study Board

Appendix



## MINUTES

2 DECEMBER 2025

**Forum** SRM Study Board

**Campus Administration South**

**Meeting held** November 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025 – 14.15-16.00

**Education**

**Place** SAMF-IFS-Room\_4-2-50-Kristiania and Teams

KAREN BLIXENS PLADS 16

KØBENHAVN S

**Minute taker** Louise Lund Liebmann

DIR 45 35 33 39 71

### Present

Jonathan Luke Austin (Head of Studies, Chairperson), Kevin Jon Heller (Professor), Nina Hartman (student, deputy Chairperson - online), Johanne Marie Holt (student - online), Troels Claus Baagland (study programme advisor), Nina Stenvang Holmsgaard (student guidance - online), Jens Lange (guest) Louise Lund Liebmann (educational partner)

lou@adm.ku.dk

### Appendices

Appendix 1 - meeting minutes from September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2025

Appendix 2 - meeting minutes from September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Appendix 3 – exemption statistics, spring (F25) and autumn (E24)

Appendix 4 - Annual cycle via-à-vis the process of approval concerning the implementation of the KA reform [Årshjul for KA-reform godkendelse]

Appendix 5 – SRM 75 ECTS draft

## Agenda

### Ad 1) Approval of agenda

The agenda was approved

### Ad 2) Approval of meeting minutes from September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2025, and September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2025 (appendix 1 and 2)

The meeting minutes were approved.

### Ad 3) Planning a date for the first Study Board meeting in the spring semester (in February)

The next Board meeting is scheduled to take place on February 25<sup>th</sup>, 2026, from 14.30-16.00.

### Ad 4) Briefing on decisions regarding exemptions and credit transfers for both spring (F25) and autumn (E24) semesters (appendix 3)

Jens Lange from the exemption team guided the Board through exemption statistics and noted that they receive few exemption applications from SRM students compared to e.g. Political Science students. Jens enquired whether SRM students are aware about the possibility to apply for exemption. The students agreed that creating more awareness among SRM students on this matter would be a good idea.

### Ad 5) Student led seminar series

Head of Studies told the Board that students would like to set up and organize seminars. Head of Studies would like to reach out to more students to tell them about this option, preferably through email. The administrative representatives informed that group emails are not advised by UCPH and that reaching the students through Absalon is probably the best option on this matter.

### Ad 6) Briefing on the next steps with the KA reform

Head of Studies explained the main points of the preliminary proposal on the 75 ECTS focused, one-year MA programme (appendix 5). Students responded that they think the proposal looks good and enquired about options for including internships in the focused programme and about what will make the programme unique. Head of Studies explained that including an internship as an elective is not permitted in terms of the focused MA programmes, but that he's exploring options for forming partnerships with stakeholders such as employer representatives. SRM graduates are even now in high demand, so in that sense the SRM programme is unique as is. However, he does see a point in

internationalizing the programme even more and align it with the UK market. He will talk to colleagues from a related programme at CBS.

**Ad 7) Information about election to the study board**

No SRM students are listed on the UCPH electoral register 2025 running to be a Study Board student representative. As the deadline for registering has long since passed (deadline for running was in October), the student representatives were encouraged to spread the word among fellow students to see if anyone is interested. When/if any SRM students volunteer, their names and info are to be forwarded to Louise (SRM educational partner) as a rector's approval is needed to confirm position.

**Ad 8) Briefing on change in SRM selection criteria**

The administration informed the Board that in relation to processing a complaint made against SRM, the Danish Agency for Higher Education and Science has clarified that the SRM programme is not allowed to prioritize applicants based on grade point average from the qualifying bachelor's degree. Accordingly, adjustments have been made on the SRM website and in the curriculum now stating "general assessments/marks/grades in the relevant qualifying bachelor's degree" as a basis for selection criteria instead. The Board did not have any comments.

**Ad 9) Briefings from the students**

Students enquired about whose responsibility it is to send information to students about deadlines related to courses. Specifically, many SRM students have missed the master's thesis sign up on December 1<sup>st</sup> and that a thesis proposal was also required at that time. Nina (student guidance) clarified that it is always students' own responsibility to be aware of relevant deadlines. And a study message was indeed sent to all MA students on October 31<sup>st</sup> about the upcoming MA thesis deadline. However, this fall there hasn't been as many info meetings organized by the student guidance as usual, which may have contributed to some of the problem. The Board discussed their need to have a reminder about exam deadlines sent out by email, but they were informed by the administration that group emails are not permitted by UCPH. Head of Studies would also like to be able to reach out to groups of SRM students, e.g. through email, and regretted that this was not an

option. The administration advised that Absalon is probably the best way to go about it.

PAGE 4 OF 4

Students enquired about where and how to get hold of MA theses. Students were advised to search for MA theses through the Royal Library's resources.

**Ad 10) Briefings from the Student Guidance/Nina**

Few SRM students reach out to student guidance. The Board discussed how best to reach out to SRM students to share what student guidance as a university resource has to offer.

**Ad 11) AOB/Jonathan**

Head of Studies mentioned that a few SRM students unfortunately have been expelled from the SRM programme/UCPH by the Admissions Office by mistake. The students mentioned have all been readmitted, but it is an unfortunate mistake. Head of Studies has informed the Associate Dean about the matter that is probably due to "teething problems" related to the administration reform at UCPH.