



## MINUTES

16 SEPTEMBER 2025

**Forum** Study Board for Security Risk Management

**Meeting held** September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2025 – 14:15-16:00

**Place** Room 4-2-50-Kristiania and Teams

**Minute taker** Louise Lund Liebmann

**Campus Administration South  
Education**

KAREN BLIXENS PLADS 16  
KØBENHAVN S

DIR 45 35 33 39 71

[lou@adm.ku.dk](mailto:lou@adm.ku.dk)

### Attendees

Jonathan Luke Austin (Head of Studies, Chairperson), Kevin Jon Heller (Professor), Nina Hartman (student, deputy Chairperson), Johanne Marie Holt (student), Troels Claus Baagland (study programme advisor), Louise Lund Liebmann (educational partner)

### Agenda

#### Ad 1) Approval of agenda

The agenda was approved.

#### Ad 2) Review of evaluation results, spring courses 2025

In general, the response rate is quite low and has gone down from 43,3% last Spring to 21,4% this Spring. The Board wants Head of Studies to strongly encourage all lecturers to strongly urge all students to complete the evaluation questionnaire.

##### *Elective: Intelligence*

B-rated course. Compared to last year, the course has improved. However, comments show that the course had some logistical issues, which the board wants to address. For instance, the lecturer was gone for six weeks during

the semester for a work trip abroad, which created a halt in the teaching flow. The Board decided that Head of Studies needs to consult with the former Head of Studies to see whether the lecturer's long-term trip abroad was preapproved and, if not, moving forward pre-approval of long-term trips mid-semester needs to be preapproved by Head of Studies.

The Board decided to rank this course a solid B.

### *Risk and Uncertainty in a Connected World*

B-rated course. However, the students' comments show that the course suffered from a significant lack of coordination and communication between the five different lecturers. As a result, students experienced a decrease in course structure and coherence. In addition, all the lecturers offered lectures on topics that students may also take/have already taken as electives resulting in thematic redundancy – some students were even told to not show up in case they had already done a certain elective. The Board stressed that all lectures must be unique, and no course should just consist of lecturers' "greatest hits".

The Board decided to rank this course as a C as they want to make sure that measures are taken to adjust it. Head of Studies will oversee that the structure of the class is improved moving forward by reaching out to the course coordinator and the lecturers and addressing the issues mentioned. The Head of Studies is also responsible for the Head of Department receiving detailed information on courses and classes that receive category C evaluations

The Board decided to rank this course a C as a reflection of the structure of the class.

### *Risk, Regulation and Governance*

B-rated course. The students' comments in the evaluation do not reveal much but the student representatives mention that it was a problem that the course was organized so that there were no breaks in-between lectures, which made it difficult to pay attention. The Board decided that there needs to be a break between lectures moving forward.

The Board decided to rank this course a B.

### **Ad 3) AOB**

PAGE 3 OF 3

The board agreed to consult on the annual summary course evaluation report by email upon this meeting, which the Head of Studies must fill out.

Kevin Jon Heller (VIP representative) and Nina Hartmann (student representative) were officially voted in as credit transfer subcommittee members.