



29 MARCH 2021

MINUTES

Forum The Study Board for Security Risk Management

Date of Meeting 26 March 2021

Venue Zoom Meeting

**Minutes taken
by** Troels Claus Baagland

Present: Anders Esmark (AE), Ben Rosamond (BR), Kevin Jon Heller (KJH), Solveig Kirstine Bennike Bennedsen (SKBB, item 1 & 2), Johanna Huifang Li (JHL) and Troels Claus Baagland (TCB)

Absent: None

Agenda:

1. Approval of agenda
2. Approval of minutes of meeting 15 February 2021
3. Discussion of Faculty interdisciplinary collaboration
4. Discussion of draft proposal for revision of SRM
5. Discussion of time schedule for revision of SRM
6. Information from students
7. Information for the Head of Studies/Head of Study Board
8. Any other business

ØSTER FARIMAGSGADE 5
1353 COPENHAGEN K

TLF 35 32 33 66
DIR 35 32 33 68

tcb@samf.ku.dk

REF: TCB

1) Approval of Agenda

Approved.

2) Approval of minutes of meeting 15 February 2021

Minutes approved.

3) Discussion of Faculty interdisciplinary collaboration

AE informed about the Faculty's plan for interdisciplinary collaboration at SAMF. The purpose is to utilize the departments' strengths and competences in relation to the development and implementation of the study programs at SAMF. There was a workshop In November 2020, but SRM was not represented since the Study Board was not established then.

AE said another workshop would be held 23 April, and the Study Board was encouraged to send 2-4 suggestions of SRM focus areas for interdisciplinary collaboration before 15 April.

BR stated that it was not ideal to have such request so late, but suggested that the input should focus on genuine collaboration. He stated that the SRM program is already an "open-minded" cross-disciplinary program and emphasized that further collaboration should not dilute the specific SRM perspective and profile.

BR suggested that input to the Faculty process should state the intention to build on the existing cross-disciplinary profile of SRM and continue to bring in people who can contribute to the program. The Health Department was mentioned as a possible collaboration partner.

BR and AE will communicate the Study Board's position to the Faculty.

4) Discussion of draft proposal for revision of SRM

AE gave a brief summary of the content in appendix 3 and 4 about the proposed revision of the SRM program.

JHL and KJH both praised the overall structure of the revised program proposed in the draft. TCB further relayed a positive response from the student advisors.

AE asked for specific comments to the proposed revision for the first semester. JHL asked about the casework element of the new course "Organization and Risk". AE replied that this would be retained and combined with element of the existing O&R.

AE asked for further comments on the two new proposed courses for the second semester. JHL and KJH stated that both courses looked highly relevant and promising.

KJH commented positively on the proposed idea of 'steady electives' and suggested that some electives could be considered mandatory.

JHL also highlighted the importance of developing electives with a specific SRM focus.

BR confirmed that electives for SRM students should be a priority, but reminded that political science students will also be allowed to take the electives. AE further remarked that the decision to offer only electives in the third semester should also be seen as a way to provide students with a mobility window for internships and exchanges. AE will talk with ABS to see what could be achieved in regard to the electives.

The suggested curriculum revisions were all approved by the Study Board. At the up-coming meetings, the study board will have a more detailed discussion about the content of the courses.

TCB said that Study Advisory had asked him to remind the Study Board about the group of students that would end up caught between the old and the new revised curriculum. He mentioned that it would be necessary also to produce a transitional curriculum for these students.

5) Discussion of time schedule for revision of SRM

The outline for the time schedule for revisions of the SRM curriculum were approved.

6) Information from students

SKBB said she was going abroad, and therefore she would recommend to a substitute for her.

TCB will find out what to do to ensure a correct appointed substitute for SKBB.

JHL said that there was some confusion among the student about the University's prioritization in regard to the opening of the university.

AE mentioned that the prioritization was not clear yet. He said that directives that are more precise would arrive after the Easter holidays.

JHL asked for more collaboration with the student association.

BR mentioned that he had already had a meeting with the students association, and talked with them about what events they would/could organize.

SIDE 4 AF 4

7) Information from the Head of Studies/Chairman of Study Board

BR informed about the role SRM alumni organization's role is currently somewhat uncertain. He would prefer to have them more included again.

BR said that 315 students had applied for admission to the SRM. The annual in-take is 58 students.

8) Other business

Nothing.